



The Role of Meritocracy in Realizing Effective Governance

Dahlan Wante

Education and Training Personnel Agency of
North Gorontalo Regency

Arifin Tahir

University of Bina Mandiri Gorontalo

Titin Dunggio

University of Bina Mandiri Gorontalo

Sudarsono

University of Bina Mandiri Gorontalo
sudarsono@ubmg.ac.id

Received:02/20/2025 Accepted:03/06/2025 Published:06/30/2025

Abstract

The purpose of this study is to analyze and understand the role of meritocracy in improving the effectiveness of governance, by highlighting that selection and promotion based on competence and achievement can strengthen professionalism, accountability, and efficiency in the bureaucracy. This study also identifies the impact of meritocracy on the quality of public services, transparency, and innovation in policy making, and also to explore the challenges faced in its implementation. This study uses a qualitative descriptive method. Data were collected through in-depth interviews with informants consisting of government officials and governance experts, using purposive sampling and snowball sampling techniques to recruit relevant and experienced participants. The validity of the data was tested through triangulation techniques, where data from various sources and perspectives were compared and confirmed to ensure the validity of the results. The results show that meritocracy is proven to strengthen the efficiency of public services, increase transparency, and minimize nepotism practices. Meritocracy has created a more competitive and innovative work environment, where officials are encouraged to develop their competencies. This study also found that the culture of patronage and the lack of strict regulations are challenges in the consistent implementation of meritocracy.

Keywords: meritocracy; governance; bureaucratic professionalism; accountability

Introduction

Meritocracy is a system that emphasizes the placement of individuals in positions or positions based on ability, competence, and performance, rather than on background, social status, or personal connections. In the context of government, meritocracy plays an important role in improving the quality of governance by ensuring that public officials are selected based on relevant ability and experience (Sabani & Aulia, 2024). The application of meritocratic

principles in the bureaucracy can reduce the practices of nepotism, collusion, and corruption that often hinder the effectiveness of government.

In realizing effective governance, meritocracy serves as a foundation for creating a professional and results-oriented government structure. The meritocratic system emphasizes the importance of placing the right people in the right positions (Ridwan et al., 2024). Each position in government is filled by individuals who have the appropriate competencies, which will ultimately contribute to the achievement of national goals and better public policies. In addition, meritocracy also helps create a competitive work culture, where civil servants strive to improve their competencies in order to compete and develop in their careers. The existence of meritocracy in government also serves to increase public trust. When the public sees that government officials are selected based on their capabilities and not because of external factors such as family or political relationships, trust in the government tends to increase. This trust is important because it creates a good relationship between the government and the people, which in turn will encourage public participation in decision-making. This public participation is one of the important aspects of good and effective governance.

Meritocracy contributes to the efficiency of public administration. When the employee selection process is based on the principle of merit, each position is filled by a competent person who understands his/her responsibilities (Asy-Syakir & Qolbah, 2024). This will speed up the bureaucratic process because skilled employees tend to be able to complete their tasks more quickly and accurately. Thus, meritocracy can reduce bureaucratic obstacles and increase government productivity. Efficiency in public administration is a key component in realizing effective governance. Another positive impact of meritocracy is the government's ability to adapt to changing times. A government based on meritocracy has competent human resources who are ready to face global challenges, including technological developments and international economic dynamics. This ability to adapt is important for the government to remain relevant and effective in facing new, complex challenges, such as climate change, public health, and digital transformation. Meritocracy has played a role in creating political stability. In an effective government system, strategic positions are occupied by competent individuals so that the resulting policies are more planned and measurable. By reducing political practices based on family or baseless loyalty, meritocracy can prevent internal conflict in government and encourage sustainable stability (Maulia, 2024). This stability is a very important element in maintaining the continuity of government and national development.

The principle of meritocracy has helped in creating transparency and accountability in the public sector (Hidayat et al., 2024). A merit system is characterized by its clarity, which facilitates an open and accountable selection process. This transparency enables the public to assess and monitor government decisions, particularly those pertaining to the appointment of public officials. In a meritocracy, the government is able to demonstrate that every official is selected based on their abilities. Consequently, if an official fails to fulfill their duties, they can be held professionally accountable. (Firmansyah et al., 2024).

On the other hand, meritocracy has its own challenges in its implementation. In many cases, the principles of meritocracy are difficult to apply consistently in a culture that is still thick with patronage and family relationships (Danapratapa et al., 2024). Therefore, the implementation of meritocracy requires a strong commitment from all elements of government as well as regulations that support the competency-based selection process. These challenges need to be overcome so that meritocracy can be applied optimally in realizing effective governance (Saebani & Mubarak, 2024). Meritocracy can also contribute to the development of human resource capacity in the public sector. Providing opportunities for individuals who excel and are competent to move up in office, meritocracy encourages a spirit of learning and self-development among civil servants (Mahmud et al., n.d.; Sumiar, 2024). Governments that implement meritocracy tend to have employees who are more knowledgeable, skilled, and ready to face challenges in carrying out their duties. Meritocracy also supports the creation of reliable human resources who are ready to provide quality public services.

The success of meritocracy in government is closely related to the development of a supportive education and training system. Education that emphasizes competence, knowledge, and skills is a determining factor in preparing individuals who are worthy of filling government positions. A quality education system is able to make meritocracy run better because the government has access to the best talents who are able to contribute to effective and sustainable governance (Prabowo, 2024). In addition, meritocracy has an important role in encouraging innovation in the government environment. Placing creative and competent individuals, the government will be more open to new ideas that can improve work efficiency and effectiveness. The implementation of this innovation allows the government to respond more quickly to community needs, provide better services, and optimize existing resources. In the long term, meritocracy can create an environment that supports innovation as part of the government's work culture.

Methods

This study uses a qualitative descriptive approach to understand and explain the role of meritocracy in realizing effective governance (Whitworth et al., 2020). This approach was selected because it enables researchers to examine the perspectives, experiences, and comprehension of informants concerning the implementation of meritocracy within the context of government. Qualitative descriptive analysis facilitates a thorough examination of the phenomena that emerge and offers a comprehensive understanding of the dynamics involved in the application of meritocracy and its influence on the efficacy of bureaucracy.

The data collection process was carried out using in-depth interview techniques. These interviews were conducted with informants who have knowledge and experience related to the implementation of meritocracy in government, such as government officials, academics, and governance practitioners (Azhari et al., 2023). The selection of informants was carried out using purposive sampling techniques, namely selecting individuals who have relevant understanding or experience related to the research topic. In addition, the snowball sampling

technique was also used to expand the number of informants, by asking for recommendations from informants who had been interviewed.

The validity of the data in this study is maintained through the use of triangulation. Triangulation is done by comparing data obtained from interviews with other sources, such as official documents, policy reports, or relevant secondary data. In addition, triangulation between informants is also carried out to ensure consistency of information and reduce bias. In this way, researchers can ensure that the data obtained is valid and accountable, and accurately describes the phenomena that occur (Schlunegger et al., 2024).

The collected data were then subjected to thematic analysis, a method of data analysis that involves the identification of key themes emerging from the interviews and the exploration of how the implementation of a meritocracy affects the effectiveness of governance. Researchers coded the interview transcripts to group information into relevant categories. This analysis aimed to find patterns related to the impact of a meritocracy on the professionalism, accountability, transparency, and efficiency of government bureaucracy.

This study also uses a comparative approach to compare the implementation of meritocracy in various government agencies (Crowe et al., 2011). The researcher analyses the differences and similarities in the implementation of the meritocracy system at the central and regional levels, as well as how local factors influence the effectiveness of the implementation of the system. In this way, this study not only provides an overview of the practice of meritocracy in general, but also identifies the challenges and opportunities that exist in a more specific context.

Results

The results of this study indicate that meritocracy plays a significant role in creating effective governance. Meritocracy, which means the selection and appointment of officials based on competence and achievement, has been shown to contribute to improving the quality of human resources in the bureaucracy. Data obtained from interviews with informants in various government agencies indicate that this merit-based system produces officials who are more professional, dedicated, and ready to work optimally. This is closely related to the principle of "the right person in the right place," where competent officials are placed in positions that match their skills. The implementation of meritocracy has been shown to reduce unhealthy practices in the bureaucracy, such as nepotism, favoritism and collusion. Based on Table 1 below, which is the result of observations and interviews conducted during the research, it was found that in a government environment that implements meritocracy, decisions regarding promotion or appointment of officials are based on objective performance and ability. Informants stated that the implementation of meritocracy can create healthy competition among employees, because they are motivated to improve their competence in order to have the opportunity to obtain a higher position. The implementation of meritocracy also has a positive impact on increasing the efficiency of public services. Informants said that when important positions are filled by competent individuals, services to the community can

be completed more quickly and accurately. In addition, officials who have competence tend to be able to find innovative solutions to problems faced in the bureaucracy, so that the service process becomes more efficient. This efficiency not only benefits the community as recipients of services, but also improves the image of the government as a responsive institution.

Table 1

The Role of Meritocracy in Effective Governance in Indonesia

Research Aspects	Meritocracy Indicators	Effective Governance	Research Findings	Data source
Employee Recruitment	Competency and qualification-based selection	Transparency in the recruitment process	Governments that implement meritocracy have a more transparent and fair recruitment system.	Interview, official documents
Career Development	Objective performance appraisal	Decision making efficiency	Merit-based careers increase employee work motivation and productivity.	Government employee survey
Performance Management	Results-based evaluation (output/outcome)	Accountability and responsiveness	Performance-based assessments increase accountability for achieving organizational targets.	Case study
Employee Welfare	Performance-based incentives and rewards	Improving the quality of public services	Employees who are rewarded according to performance show higher job satisfaction.	Satisfaction survey
Policy Making	Involvement of experts and specialists in decisions	Evidence-based policy	Meritocracy drives more effective policies through consultation with experts.	Policy analysis

Research Aspects	Meritocracy Indicators	Effective Governance	Research Findings	Data source
Budget Efficiency	Budget allocation based on performance priorities	Optimal budget management	Meritocracy contributes to the reduction of budget waste and the efficiency of government spending.	Public financial reports
Implementation Challenges	Cultural and political barriers	Resistance to change	Some regions show resistance to meritocracy due to a strong culture of patronage.	Field observation

Source: Processed data, 2024

The present study has determined that a meritocracy fosters a culture of accountability within bureaucratic structures. Informants have indicated that officials who are selected based on merit are inclined to assume greater responsibility for their duties and responsibilities. This is due to their awareness that their position is the result of performance and ability assessments. This accountability has a positive impact on transparency and supervision, as officials become more receptive to evaluation and oversight from superiors and the public. In relation to political stability, meritocracy has a significant impact. Table 2 shows the result of research which shows that officials who are selected based on performance and competence have a stronger commitment to implementing government policies well. They are not tied to certain political interests, so they are more neutral in making decisions that have the potential to maintain political stability. This stability is an important factor in creating effective and sustainable governance.

Meritocracy has contributed to increasing the capacity and ability of civil servants through training and development programs. Based on the results of interviews conducted during the research, many agencies that implement meritocracy hold programs to improve skills and knowledge for employees. The program's objective is to enhance the competence of employees, thereby preparing them to compete and develop in their careers. The significance of continuous training lies in its role in ensuring that employees possess the necessary skills to adapt to changes and address new challenges. The implementation of a merit system has been shown to foster public trust in bureaucracy. The findings of this study suggest that the public tends to hold a favourable perception of governments that adopt meritocracies, perceiving appointed officials as both worthy and competent. This enhanced trust is pivotal in fostering positive relationships between the government and the community, as it increases the community's propensity to support government programs produced by officials who are competent and integrity-based.

Table 2*Regions That Have Implemented Meritocracy in Indonesia*

No	Regional Name	Implemented Aspects of Meritocracy	Success Indicators	Impact Felt	Data source
1	Jakarta	Competency based recruitment	The level of transparency in civil service recruitment has increased	More responsive public services	BKN report, interview
2	West Java	Target-based employee performance evaluation	Civil servant performance increased by 15%	Efficiency in budget use	Government annual report
3	East Java	Achievement based promotion system	Employee satisfaction levels increased by up to 25%	Employees are more motivated to work	Civil servant satisfaction survey
4	South Sulawesi	Priority-based budget management	Budget allocation efficiency reaches 90%	Public infrastructure improvements	Regional financial audit
5	Yogyakarta	Expert-based policy consulting	More measurable and evidence-based policies	Increasing the human development index (HDI)	Case study
6	East Kalimantan	Performance based incentives	Increase employee productivity by 20%	More effective government performance	More effective government performance
7	Bali	Transparency in decision making	Community participation increases	Community participation increases	Citizen participation report
8	Sumatera Barat	Employee competency training and development	Employee training increased by 30%	Employees are more skilled and professional	Training Agency Data

Source: Processed data, 2024

On the other hand, in Table 3, this study has found challenges in the implementation of meritocracy, especially in areas that are still thick with patronage culture. Informants revealed that there are still some officials who are chosen not based on merit, but because of their closeness to certain leaders. The implementation of practices that impede the optimization of meritocracies has the potential to diminish the efficacy of governance. To this end, the establishment of a meritocracy must be reinforced by robust regulatory frameworks and a resolute commitment from all echelons of government. Meritocracies have been demonstrated to exert a substantial influence on the degree of employee motivation. Informants have attested to the manner in which meritocracies foster a sense of motivation among employees to persist in enhancing their performance and competence. This motivation is further compounded by the belief that enhanced performance will open doors to opportunities for advancement. This heightened motivation, in turn, has a direct and substantial impact on the effectiveness of governance.

Table 3

Regions experiencing obstacles in implementing meritocracy in Indonesia

No	Regional Name	Types of Constraints	Causative factor	The Impact That Occurred	Efforts Made
1	Papua	Cultural resistance to the merit system	Strong culture of patronage	The civil servant recruitment process is not transparent	Socialization of the importance of meritocracy
2	North Sumatra	Political intervention in appointments	Domination of political elites in local government	Domination of political elites in local government	Supervision from independent institutions
3	Banten	Lack of competence of the apparatus	Lack of HR training and development	The productivity of state civil servants is low	Increase budget allocation for training
4	West Kalimantan	The performance appraisal system is not yet optimal	There is no measurable assessment standard	Job promotions are not performance-based	Preparation of target-based performance guidelines
5	East Nusa Tenggara	Inadequate technological infrastructure	Lack of investment in e-governance systems	Administrative processes become slow	Digital infrastructure enhancement
6	Aceh	Unsynchronized legal and regulatory barriers	There are regional regulations that conflict	Implementation of meritocracy policy is hampered	Harmonization of regulations between central and

No	Regional Name	Types of Constraints	Causative factor	The Impact That Occurred	Efforts Made
			with central regulations		regional governments
7	Southeast Sulawesi	Lack of budget for implementing meritocracy	Budget priorities are shifted to other sectors	Suboptimal recruitment and development of civil servants	Budget reallocation to support meritocracy
8	Maluku	Lack of understanding of the concept of meritocracy	Low levels of education and training of civil servants	Implementation of meritocracy is not working	Education and training programs for civil servants

Source: Processed data, 2024

In terms of innovation, meritocracy has been proven to provide space for high-achieving and creative officials to contribute more. The results of this study indicate that officials who are selected based on merit tend to have a more open perspective on change and innovation. They are more proactive in seeking innovative solutions and dare to take the steps needed to improve the quality of public services. The implementation of a meritocracy has been demonstrated to play a role in reducing the level of corruption in government. Based on the results of the interview, the informant stated that with a merit system, the opportunity for corruption to occur is smaller because every individual who holds a high position goes through a strict selection process. In addition, transparency in job promotions makes officials more responsible and has integrity in carrying out their duties, thereby reducing the risk of abuse of authority. The rationality and objectivity of officials selected based on merit in decision-making further mitigate the risk of corruption. This study's findings suggest that a meritocracy fosters the development of more informed decisions, underpinned by data analysis, leading to more effective and targeted policies.

This study also revealed that meritocracy plays a role in improving the quality of communication within the bureaucracy. Competent and professional officials are more open to communicating and collaborating with various parties, both internal and external. This openness of communication facilitates coordination between units and reduces potential conflicts, which ultimately contributes to more effective governance. Meritocracy also has a positive impact on increasing integrity within the government. Informants stated that a merit-based system fosters an honest and responsible work culture. By placing officials with integrity, the government can ensure that every policy and program implemented is truly beneficial to the community and not for personal or group interests. This integrity is an important foundation in transparent and effective governance. Overall, the results of this study indicate that meritocracy is a key factor in creating effective governance. By placing competent officials, encouraging accountability, and increasing innovation and integrity, meritocracy can provide a significant positive impact on the effectiveness and efficiency of

government. Therefore, the consistent implementation of meritocracy at all levels of government needs to be continuously encouraged in order to achieve better and more sustainable governance.

Discussion

Based on the research results, meritocracy has been proven to contribute significantly to encouraging the professionalism and competence of public officials. This is supported by previous research which states that the principle of meritocracy which places “the right person in the right place” provides a strong foundation for creating a bureaucracy that is more responsive to the needs of society (Ramdani et al., 2024). By placing individuals based on competence, the government becomes more focused on achieving optimal results. From the perspective of government effectiveness, meritocracy helps reduce structural barriers such as nepotism and collusion that often weaken the quality of public services. By minimizing personal interests in job promotions, meritocracy encourages more objective decision-making. This is important because decisions based on competence and work results tend to be more targeted and relevant, especially in the context of public services (Morin et al., 2024). In addition, meritocracy plays an important role in building a competitive and healthy work culture in the bureaucratic environment. As expressed by research informants, officials feel more motivated to improve their competence because they understand that promotion or career advancement is based on measurable and objective performance. This kind of work culture encourages a spirit of learning and self-development, which has an impact on the quality of human resources in the public sector.

Meritocracy also has a positive impact on efficiency in the public service process. Placing competent officials in strategic positions makes the implementation of tasks faster and more effective. This efficiency is obtained because officials who have relevant knowledge and skills are able to handle problems with appropriate solutions, so that the bureaucratic process becomes smoother. This is in line with the principle of good governance, which requires the government to provide the best service to the community. This discussion also highlights that meritocracy helps increase transparency in government. Previous research supports this study that placing officials based on competence makes the selection process clearer and more accountable (Salsabila et al., 2024). This transparency is important because the public can assess that the appointed officials are truly worthy of their positions. With transparency, the government can build public trust, which is an important element in good governance (Sunara et al., 2024). Nevertheless, this study also explores the challenges associated with implementing a meritocracy. A significant impediment to the realization of a meritocracy is the pervasive culture of patronage in numerous regions. However, research indicates that this challenge can be surmounted through the implementation of stringent regulations and the dedication of agency leaders. With appropriate regulations and rigorous oversight, the occurrence of unfavourable practices in job promotions can be mitigated.

Meritocracy has played a key role in building accountability among public officials. Officials who obtain their positions based on performance will feel more responsible in carrying out their duties. This accountability has a positive impact in ensuring that every policy taken has a strong basis and can be accounted for. Accountability also strengthens internal supervision, where each official is more open to evaluation and correction. In terms of political stability, meritocracy has been proven to help reduce officials' dependence on certain political interests. Officials who are selected based on merit tend to be more neutral and independent in making decisions, so they are more focused on achieving effective policies. This political stability is an important element that supports the continuity of government policies, especially in the long term. Meritocracy also shows an impact on increasing the capacity of human resources in government. This study found that with meritocracy, government agencies are more likely to hold training and competency development regularly. Previous research also stated the same thing that officials remain relevant and able to adapt to environmental changes, including changes in technology and the dynamic needs of society (Tan & Kosat, 2024). This capacity building program ultimately contributes to improving the quality of governance as a whole (Kholda et al., 2024; salim Alimuddin et al., 2024).

It is also important to note that meritocracy has a positive impact on reducing the potential for corruption in government. A merit-based system significantly reduces the risk of abuse of authority, because every official who holds a high position is selected through a strict and transparent process. With public oversight of the promotion process, officials are more motivated to maintain integrity and avoid corrupt actions that are detrimental to the government and society. This study also highlights the role of meritocracy in encouraging innovation in government. Previous research supports this study that officials who are selected based on competence tend to be more open-minded to new ideas (Sabani & Aulia, 2024). They are more willing to try new approaches and take the initiative to solve complex bureaucratic problems. This innovation is an important aspect in achieving government effectiveness that is adaptive to change. In the context of internal and external communication, meritocracy has been shown to increase the effectiveness of communication among public officials (Hidayat et al., 2024). This study shows that competent officials tend to be more open in communicating and collaborating, both with internal and external parties. This openness of communication facilitates coordination between units in government and reduces the potential for conflict, which ultimately supports more effective and efficient governance.

Meritocracy establishes a robust foundation for cultivating integrity within bureaucratic environments. This equitable and transparent system motivates officials to adhere to high ethical standards, as they recognize that their positions are predicated on their professional capabilities and diligence. This integrity constitutes a vital cornerstone for implementing responsible governance that is oriented towards public service (Morin et al., 2024). Furthermore, meritocracy encourages the implementation of policies that are oriented towards results. By selecting competent officials, the government has the capacity to design more appropriate and data-based policies. Decision-making based on data analysis allows the government to produce policies that are more effective and in accordance with the needs of

the community (El Muhammady et al., n.d.). Meritocracy plays a pivotal role in the pursuit of effective governance. By prioritizing professionalism, transparency, accountability, and innovation, meritocracy establishes a robust foundation for governments to attain optimal performance and enhance public trust. Despite the ongoing challenges in implementing meritocracy, the findings of this study demonstrate that with adequate supporting regulations, meritocracy can be effectively implemented to fortify governance at various levels.

Conclusion and Recommendation

Meritocracy plays a crucial role in creating effective governance. By implementing meritocracy, the government can ensure that every official is selected and appointed based on competence, integrity, and achievement, not on personal closeness or political interests. This builds a stronger foundation in the bureaucracy, where every individual who occupies a strategic position has the appropriate skills and qualifications to carry out their duties optimally. In addition, meritocracy has been proven to increase efficiency and accountability in the government environment. By placing the right people in the right positions, the decision-making process becomes more objective and results oriented. This efficiency has an impact on the quality of public services, because competent officials are able to complete work faster and more effectively and produce more relevant and targeted solutions. Accountability also increases because officials who obtain positions based on achievement feel more responsible in carrying out their duties.

This study has shown that meritocracy plays a role in encouraging a more competitive and innovative work culture. Officials and employees who are selected based on merit are motivated to continue improving their competencies, which in turn encourages a culture of quality improvement in government. This competitive and professional work environment triggers innovations in public services and more strategic decision-making, making the government more adaptive in facing changes and new challenges. However, the implementation of meritocracy also faces challenges, especially from the patronage culture that is still strong in some government environments. Despite these challenges, this study shows that meritocracy can still be implemented effectively with firm regulations and support from leaders of related agencies. Clear and transparent regulations can minimize the potential for unhealthy intervention in the selection and promotion process of officials, so that the meritocracy system can function optimally.

Meritocracy is an important element in realizing effective governance. By creating a system based on competence and integrity, meritocracy not only improves the quality of human resources in the bureaucracy, but also encourages the creation of a more transparent, responsive, and innovative government. Therefore, the implementation of consistent and sustainable meritocracy needs to be continuously pursued as part of a strategy to strengthen governance at various levels.

This research recommendation suggests that the government continue to strengthen the implementation of the meritocracy system in the bureaucracy through strict regulations and consistent implementation at all levels. This step can be started by increasing transparency in the selection and promotion process, ensuring that each position is filled by individuals who are truly competent. The government should also form an independent supervisory institution tasked with overseeing the practice of meritocracy and periodically verifying the integrity of the selection process. That way, the integrity of the meritocracy system will be maintained and free from political influence or personal interests. The next recommendation is to improve the quality and accessibility of competency development programs for civil servants. The government can hold ongoing training that is relevant to the demands and developments of the times, such as project management, information technology, and leadership. In addition, periodic mentoring and performance evaluation programs also need to be carried out so that each employee has a clear career path and the encouragement to continue to improve their skills. This is expected to improve the quality of human resources and create a professional and innovative work culture. The last recommendation related to expanding the implementation of meritocracy, governments in regions that are still strong with a patronage culture need to be given socialization and coaching regarding the importance of meritocracy in realizing effective governance. This campaign can involve community leaders and local leaders to provide an understanding of the long-term benefits of meritocracy for regional development. In addition, providing incentives or awards to regions that have successfully implemented meritocracy consistently can also be an encouragement for other regional governments to also commit to meritocracy in their bureaucracy.

The implications of implementing meritocracy in effective governance are very significant for innovation and sustainability. By placing officials based on competence and achievement, meritocracy encourages the emergence of innovative and solution-oriented bureaucratic leaders. Such leaders tend to be more open to new ideas, dare to make strategic decisions, and are able to respond to change quickly. In the long term, meritocracy creates a work environment that spurs continuous innovation, because officials feel encouraged to develop competence for a better career. This culture of meritocracy not only increases the effectiveness of public services, but also ensures that the government has stability in implementing policies, which ultimately supports the sustainability of development and the quality of governance in the future.

References

- Asy-Syakir, I., & Qolbah, I. N. (2024). Meritokrasi dalam Bingkai Al-Quran (Studi Tafsir Tematik Konseptual Al-Quran). *Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Kebudayaan dan Agama*, 2(1), 78–91.
- Azhari, C. D. S., Afif, Z., & Kustati, M. (2023). Penelitian Mixed Method Research Untuk Disertasi. *INNOVATIVE: Journal of Social Science Research*, 3(2), 8010–8025. <https://doi.org/10.31004/innovative.v3i2.1339>

- Crowe, S., Cresswell, K., Robertson, A., Huby, G., Avery, A., & Sheikh, A. (2011). The case study approach. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 11. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-11-100>
- Danaprata, B., Sujatmoko, I. A., & Sucipto, H. R. (2024). Penempatan ASN yang Tidak Bersih: Faktor Penyebab dan Solusi Implementatif. *Neraca: Jurnal Ekonomi, Manajemen Dan Akuntansi*, 2(5), 493–502.
- El Muhammady, I. G., Wicaksono, I., Maulana, A., Robiatul, P., Adawiyah, R. A., & Ningtyas, B. K. (n.d.). *Management Supervision and The Regional Staffing Merit System in Indonesia*.
- Firmansyah, H., Pratama, R. A. A., Azizil, F. N., & Yulianto, Z. H. (2024). Analisis Batasan Usia Capres: Antara Demokrasi, Meritokrasi, Dan Dinamika Politik Indonesia. *Aktivisme: Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan, Politik Dan Sosial Indonesia*, 1(3), 153–166.
- Hidayat, R., Salmin, S., Mustamin, M., & Hadi, A. (2024). Analysis of the Implementation of the Merit System in the Mataram City Government from a Gender Perspective. *Jurnal Ilmiah Tata Sejuta STIA Mataram*, 10(2), 276–288.
- Kholda, D., Safina, D. K., Latuheru, N. E., & Jabarullah, M. N. (2024). Potensi Politisasi dalam Rekrutmen ASN. *Retorika: Jurnal Komunikasi, Sosial Dan Ilmu Politik*, 1(2), 83–93.
- Mahmud, A. M., Yusuf, F. A., & Hardianto, A. M. (n.d.). The Role of Job Performance and Job Satisfaction on Happiness and Its Impact on Employee Performance and Rewards in The Education and Culture Department of Cilegon City. *Social, Humanities, and Educational Studies (SHES): Conference Series*, 7(2).
- Maulia, S. T. (2024). Politik Dinasti dalam Perspektif Demokrasi di Indonesia. *Journal of Practice Learning and Educational Development*, 4(2), 118–125.
- Morin, J. D. S., Suwanda, D., & Ali, H. (2024). Implementation of the merit system for position placement in government Papua province. *Jurnal Scientia*, 13(01), 1108–1117.
- Prabowo, H. (2024). Optimalisasi Pengisian Jabatan Pimpinan Tinggi Berbasis Merit di Lingkungan Pemerintah Provinsi Riau. *Socius: Jurnal Penelitian Ilmu-Ilmu Sosial*, 1(12).
- Ramdani, M. A., Barokah, A., Wulandari, S., & Nurfalah, F. (2024). The Implementation of a Merit System in Promotion and Job Rotation at the Kuningan District Civil Service and Human Resource Development Agency. *Indonesian Journal of Advanced Research*, 3(6), 663–676.
- Ridwan, I., Sukmana, O., & Wahyudi, W. (2024). Implementasi Sistem Merit dan Realitas Pertukaran Sosial: Analisis Kritis Proses Seleksi Pejabat Daerah di Indonesia. *Innovative: Journal Of Social Science Research*, 4(4), 12445–12460.
- Sabani, A., & Aulia, N. (2024). Pentingnya Implementasi Sistem Meritokrasi Dalam Instansi Pemerintahan Indonesia. *Aktivisme: Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan, Politik Dan Sosial Indonesia*, 1(3), 144–152.
- Saebani, B. A., & Mubarak, A. F. (2024). Nepotisme Politik Kekuasaan dan Upaya Pemecahannya. *Polhum Sovereignty Law And Diplomatic Politics*, 1(1), 10–18.
- salim Alimuddin, M., Sangkala, S., & Ahmad, B. (2024). Merit system in promotion of primary high leadership positions in Majene regency. *Jurnal Mantik*, 7(4), 2992–3000.
- Salsabila, A., Lubis, G. D., & Azahra, N. (2024). Analisis Implementasi Sistem Merit dalam Proses Promosi Jabatan ASN di Indonesia Studi Kasus: Implementasi Sistem Merit dalam

- Proses Promosi Jabatan di Kementerian Keuangan. *El-Mujtama: Jurnal Pengabdian Masyarakat*, 4(5), 1948–1957.
- Schlunegger, M. C., Zumstein-Shaha, M., & Palm, R. (2024). Methodologic and Data-Analysis Triangulation in Case Studies: A Scoping Review. *Western Journal of Nursing Research*, 01939459241263011.
- Sumiar, H. R. (2024). *Merit System: A Case Study of The Top Management Team Fulfillment Process*.
- Sunara, R., Gibran, A. S., Irfandy, A. F. A., Dwi, F. G., & Delvia, J. D. (2024). Meritocracy Implementation of The State Civil Servants as An Embodiment Of The Values Of Integrity And National Defensen Through A Public Ethics Perspective. *Edunity Kajian Ilmu Sosial Dan Pendidikan*, 3(5), 325–336.
- Tan, P., & Kosat, O. (2024). Meritocracy, the Reproduction of Inequality, and the Academic Scandal in Indonesia: Philosophical Perspective of Michael Sandel. *Indonesian Journal of Educational Research and Review*, 7(1).
- Whitworth, S., Sadowski, T., & Alqahtani, N. (2020). *Short Guides in Education Research Methodologies* (pp. 1–136).